## **DISSERTATION DEFENSE RUBRIC**

	Dissertation Title:		
DATE:		<b>COMMITTEE MEMBER:</b>	

Please return to the Committee Chair or School of Educational Studies, Harper 202

RESEARCH QUESTION			
	Has a research question or problem that is trivial, weak, unoriginal or already solved		
☐ Level 2	: Has a research question that is not significant, is often highly derivative, or an extension of the adviser's work; is narrow in scope		
☐ Level 3			
☐ Level 4			
WRITING			
	Writing lacks independent and authoritative voice; has frequent spelling and grammatical errors; limited vocabulary; presentation lacks organizational logic.		
☐ Level 2	Matting is not assessed to a localized in decorate and soft set of soft at the contract of the soft at the soft set of the soft at the soft set of the soft at the		
☐ Level 3			
☐ Level 4	Writing is expressive consistently demonstrating an independent and authoritative voice; free of mechanical errors, highly developed vocabulary; is organized in a logical and coherent organizational framework		
THESIS DEVELOPMENT			
☐ Level 1	Lacks originality, insight, thoughtfulness and clarity; often loses coherence; may ramble; has a weak, inconsistent, self-contradictory, unconvincing, or invalid argument		
☐ Level 2	: Is workmanlike, and generally coherent; rarely insightful; argument may be coherent or comprehensive but not both		
☐ Level 3	Is clear, coherent, concise, creative, thoughtful; sometimes insightful; has a comprehensive, and coherent argument		
☐ Level 4	In additional to the first the committee of the control of the con		
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY			
☐ Level 1	Relies on inappropriate or incorrect methods; data are flawed, wrong, or false		
☐ Level 2			
☐ Level 3	techniques		
☐ Level 4			

ANALYTIC THINKING			
☐ Level	1:	Wrong, inappropriate, incoherent, or confused analysis; includes results that are obvious, already know, unexplained, or misinterpreted; has unsupported or exaggerated interpretation	
☐ Level	2:	Unsophisticated analysis; does not explore all possibilities; misses connections; has predictable results	
☐ Level	3:	Obtains solid, expected results or answers; misses opportunities to completely explore interesting issues and connections	
☐ Level	4:	Analysis is comprehensive, complete, sophisticated; results are significant; conclusion ties the work together	
THEORY KNOWLEDGE			
□ Level	1:	Does not understand or misses relevant literature; does not understand theory well; theory is missing or wrong; does not understand basic concepts, processes, or conventions of the discipline	
☐ Level	2:	Displays a narrow understanding of the field; reviews literature adequately; knows the literature but is not critical of it or does not discuss what is important; demonstrates understanding of theory at a simple level, and theory is minimally to competently applied to the problem	
<pre>Level</pre>	3:	Shows understanding and mastery of the subject matter	
☐ Level	4:	Displays a deep understanding of a massive amount of complicated literature; exhibits command and authority over the material; is theoretically sophisticated and shows a deep understanding of theory	
		IMPACT	
		le of no interest to a levery community, do no not make a contribution to the field	
Level	1:	Is of no interest to a larger community; does not make a contribution to the field	
<pre>Level</pre>	2:	May be of interest to a narrow segment of the community; makes a small contribution to the field	
☐ Level	3:	Is of interest to a moderate segment of the community; unlikely to change the way people think; makes a modest contribution to the field but does not open it up; may be publishable in second-tier journals	
☐ Level	4:	Is of interest to a larger community and changes the way people think; pushes the discipline's boundaries and opens new areas for research; is publishable in top-tier journals	